

JUDGE ROBERT S. LASNIK

Stephen P. Connor, WSBA No. 14305
Anne-Marie E. Sargent, WSBA No. 27160
CONNOR & SARGENT PLLC
999 Third Avenue, Suite 3000
Seattle WA 98104
(206) 654-5050

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

MARVIN MANN, on his own behalf and on
behalf of a CLASS OF SIMILARLY
SITUATED EMPLOYEES OF
DEFENDANT,

Plaintiffs,

v.

THE BOEING COMPANY, a Delaware
corporation,

Defendant.

CLASS ACTION

No. 2:15-cv-01507-RSL

**PLAINTIFF'S RENEWED MOTION
FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION**

**NOTED FOR HEARING
OCTOBER 14, 2016
WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT**

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff filed his motion for class certification on April 14, 2016. (Dkt. #26). The Court ruled on that motion on August 23, 2016, denying the motion without prejudice and inviting Plaintiff to file a renewed motion with a revised class definition. (Dkt. #46). This motion follows.

II. PERTINENT FACTS

Plaintiff incorporates by reference the facts outlined in his original motion (Dkt. #26) and reply (Dkt. #44). Plaintiff requests that the court consider his motion for class certification with a revised class definition as follows:

PLAINTIFFS' RENEWED MOTION FOR CLASS
CERTIFICATION - 1

CONNOR & SARGENT PLLC
999 Third Avenue, Suite 3000
Seattle WA 98104
(206) 654-5050 • FAX (206) 340-8856

Boeing Job DAKU-K employees at its Everett facility directly supervising IAM members engaged directly in the assembly of 777 (but not the 777X) aircraft in the Everett factory, excluding employees whose principal supervisory responsibilities were related to training, tooling, staffing, quality control or who held Boeing Production System positions or other positions involving cross operational initiatives including Tactical Manager positions.

III. ARGUMENT AND AUTHORITY

A. Plaintiff Incorporates By Reference His Prior Arguments, As They Apply To The Current Class Definition.

Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference his original Motion for Class Certification, (Dkt. #26), including the law and arguments cited therein. The law and arguments under presented in Plaintiff's original motion also apply to his revised class definition.

This court can revise the class definition or revisit certification at a later time if confusion and complexities continue. As the court noted in its Order, the task of defining Plaintiff's class is "made more difficult by the complex nature of Boeing's job classifications and terminology." If this complexity continues despite the revised class definition, it may again be appropriate to permit Plaintiff to redefine the class as appropriate. "Rule 23 provides district courts with broad authority at various stages in the litigation to revisit class certification determinations and to redefine or decertify classes as appropriate. *Armstrong v. Davis*, 275 F.3d 849, 871 n.28 (9th Cir. 2001), *abrogated on other grounds by Johnson v. California*, 543 U.S. 499, 504-05, 125 S. Ct. 1141, 160 L. Ed. 2d 949 (2005).

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, including pleadings referenced herein, Plaintiff's renewed motion for class certification should be granted.

1
2 DATED September 12, 2016, at Seattle, Washington.

3 CONNOR & SARGENT PLLC

4 By s/ Stephen P. Connor

5 Stephen P. Connor, WSBA No. 14305

6 CONNOR & SARGENT PLLC

7 999 Third Avenue, Suite 3000

8 Seattle, WA 98104

9 Email: steve@cslawfirm.net

10 Telephone: (206) 654-5050

11 By s/ Anne-Marie Sargent

12 Anne-Marie E. Sargent, WSBA No. 27160

13 CONNOR & SARGENT PLLC

14 999 Third Avenue, Suite 3000

15 Seattle, WA 98104

16 Email: aes@cslawfirm.net

17 Telephone: (206) 654-5050

18 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Class

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on this day a true and correct copy of this document was served on the party of record as stated below in the manner indicated:

Chelsea Dwyer Petersen
Charles N. Eberhardt
Jeffrey A. Hollingsworth
William B. Stafford
Emily A. Bushaw

Perkins Coie LLP
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
Seattle, WA 98101-3099

Attorneys for Defendant The Boeing Company

VIA CM/ECF SYSTEM

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington, that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED at Seattle, Washington on September 12, 2016.

s/ Rosanne M. Wanamaker

Rosanne M. Wanamaker, Legal Assistant
CONNOR & SARGENT PLLC
999 Third Avenue, Suite 3000
Seattle, WA 98104
Email: rosanne@cslawfirm.net
Telephone: (206) 654-5050